

EXHIBIT 14A

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
29	29-II	No	
46	46-II	No	
77	77-II	No	
78		No	
79		No	
80		No	
81		No	
82		No	
83		No	
84		No	
85		No	
86		No	
87		No	
88		No	
89		No	
130		No	
131		No	
133		No	
139		No	
149		No	
150		Yes	Relevance
151		No	
153		No	
154		No	
155		No	
160		No	
170		No	
190		No	
191		Yes	Relevance, 403
193		Yes	Relevance, 403
194		Yes	Lack of Completeness
195		No	
197		Yes	Relevance, 403
200		Yes	Relevance, 403
201		Yes	Relevance, 403
202		Yes	Relevance, 403
203		Yes	Relevance, 403
204		Yes	Relevance, 403
205		Yes	Relevance, 403
230		Yes	Relevance, 403
232		Yes	Relevance, 403
241		Yes	Relevance, 403
247		No	
256		Yes	Relevance, 403
265		No	
317		Yes	Relevance, 403
318		Yes	Relevance, 403

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
319		Yes	Relevance, 403
320		Yes	Relevance, 403
321		Yes	Relevance, 403
322		Yes	Relevance, 403
323		Yes	Relevance, 403
324		Yes	Relevance, 403
325		Yes	Relevance, 403
326		Yes	Relevance, 403
327		Yes	Relevance, 403
328		Yes	Relevance, 403
330		Yes	Relevance, 403
331		Yes	Relevance, 403
332		Yes	Relevance, 403
333		Yes	Relevance, 403
334		Yes	Relevance, 403
335		Yes	Relevance, 403
336		Yes	Relevance, 403
337		Yes	Relevance, 403
338		Yes	Relevance, 403
339		Yes	Relevance, 403
340		Yes	Relevance, 403
341		Yes	Relevance, 403
342		Yes	Relevance, 403
343		Yes	Relevance, 403
344		Yes	Relevance, 403
345		Yes	Relevance, 403
346		Yes	Relevance, 403
347		Yes	Relevance, 403
348		Yes	Relevance, 403
349		Yes	Relevance, 403
350		Yes	Relevance, 403
351		Yes	Relevance, 403
352		Yes	Relevance, 403
353		Yes	Relevance, 403
354		Yes	Relevance, 403
355		Yes	Relevance, 403
356		Yes	Relevance, 403
357		Yes	Relevance, 403
358		Yes	Relevance, 403
359		Yes	Relevance, 403
360		Yes	Relevance, 403
361		Yes	Relevance, 403
362		Yes	Relevance, 403
363		Yes	Relevance, 403
364		Yes	Relevance, 403
365		Yes	Relevance, 403
366		Yes	Relevance, 403

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
367		Yes	Relevance, 403
368		Yes	Relevance, 403
369		Yes	Relevance, 403
370		Yes	Relevance, 403
371		Yes	Relevance, 403
372		Yes	Relevance, 403
373		Yes	Relevance, 403
374		Yes	Relevance, 403
375		Yes	Relevance, 403
376		Yes	Relevance, 403
377		Yes	Relevance, 403
378		Yes	Relevance, 403
379		Yes	Relevance, 403
380		Yes	Relevance, 403
381		Yes	Relevance, 403
382		Yes	Relevance, 403
383		Yes	Relevance, 403
384		Yes	Relevance, 403
385		Yes	Relevance, 403
386		Yes	Relevance, 403
387		Yes	Relevance, 403
388		Yes	Relevance, 403
389		Yes	Relevance, 403
390		Yes	Relevance, 403
391		Yes	Relevance, 403
392		Yes	Relevance, 403
393		Yes	Relevance, 403
394		Yes	Relevance, 403
395		Yes	Relevance, 403
396		Yes	Relevance, 403
397		Yes	Relevance, 403
399		Yes	Relevance, 403
400		Yes	Relevance, 403
401		Yes	Relevance, 403
402		Yes	Relevance, 403
403		Yes	Relevance, 403
404		Yes	Relevance, 403
405		Yes	Relevance, 403
406		Yes	Relevance, 403
407		Yes	Relevance, 403
408		Yes	Relevance, 403
409		Yes	Relevance, 403
410		Yes	Relevance, 403
411		Yes	Relevance, 403
412		Yes	Relevance, 403
413		Yes	Relevance, 403
414		Yes	Relevance, 403

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
415		Yes	Relevance, 403
416		Yes	Relevance, 403
417		Yes	Relevance, 403
418		Yes	Relevance, 403
419		Yes	Relevance, 403
420		Yes	Relevance, 403
421		Yes	Relevance, 403
422		Yes	Relevance, 403
423		Yes	Relevance, 403
424		Yes	Relevance, 403
425		Yes	Relevance, 403
426		Yes	Relevance, 403
427		Yes	Relevance, 403
428		Yes	Relevance, 403
429		Yes	Relevance, 403
430		Yes	Relevance, 403
431		Yes	Relevance, 403
432		Yes	Relevance, 403
433		Yes	Relevance, 403
434		Yes	Relevance, 403
435		Yes	Relevance, 403
436		Yes	Relevance, 403
437		Yes	Relevance, 403
438		Yes	Relevance, 403
439		Yes	Relevance, 403
440		Yes	Relevance, 403
442		Yes	Relevance, 403
443		Yes	Relevance, 403
446		Yes	Relevance, 403
447		Yes	Relevance, 403
448		Yes	Relevance, 403
449		Yes	Relevance, 403
450		Yes	Relevance, 403
451		Yes	Relevance, 403
452		Yes	Relevance, 403
453		Yes	Relevance, 403
454		Yes	Relevance, 403
455		Yes	Relevance, 403
456		Yes	Relevance, 403
457		Yes	Relevance, 403
458		Yes	Relevance, 403
459		Yes	Relevance, 403
460		Yes	Relevance, 403
461		Yes	Relevance, 403
462		Yes	Relevance, 403
463		Yes	Relevance, 403
464		Yes	Relevance, 403

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
465		Yes	Relevance, 403
466		Yes	Relevance, 403
467		Yes	Relevance, 403
468		Yes	Relevance, 403
469		Yes	Relevance, 403
470		Yes	Relevance, 403
471		Yes	Relevance, 403
472		Yes	Relevance, 403
473		Yes	Relevance, 403
474		Yes	Relevance, 403
475		Yes	Relevance, 403
476		Yes	Relevance, 403
477		Yes	Relevance, 403
478		Yes	Relevance, 403
479		No	
480		Yes	Relevance, 403
481		Yes	Relevance, 403
482		Yes	Relevance, 403
483		Yes	Hearsay
484		Yes	Relevance, 403
485		Yes	Relevance, 403
486		Yes	Relevance, 403
487		Yes	Relevance, 403
488		Yes	Relevance, 403
490		Yes	Relevance, 403
491		No	
492		Yes	Relevance, 403
493		No	
494		No	
495		Yes	Relevance, 403
498		Yes	Relevance, 403
500		Yes	Relevance, 403
501		Yes	Relevance, 403
502		No	
503		Yes	Relevance, 403
504		Yes	Relevance, 403
505		Yes	Relevance, 403
506		Yes	Relevance, 403
508		No	
519		No	
520		Yes	Hearsay
521		Yes	Relevance, Hearsay, Other
523		No	
571		Yes	Relevance, 403
572		Yes	Relevance, 403, Authenticity, Hearsay
579		Yes	Relevance, 403, Hearsay

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
580		Yes	Relevance, 403, Hearsay
581		Yes	Relevance, 403
582		Yes	Relevance, 403, Hearsay
583		Yes	Relevance, 403
584		Yes	Relevance, 403
585		Yes	Relevance, 403
586		Yes	Relevance, 403
588		Yes	Relevance, 403
589		Yes	Relevance, 403
590		Yes	Relevance, 403
591		Yes	Relevance, 403
592		Yes	Relevance, 403
593		Yes	Relevance, 403
594		Yes	Relevance, 403
595		Yes	Relevance, 403
596		No	
597		No	
598		No	
599		No	
600		No	
601		No	
602		No	
603		No	
604		Yes	Relevance
605		No	
606		No	
607		Yes	Relevance
608		No	
609		Yes	Relevance
610		Yes	Relevance
611		Yes	Relevance
612		No	
613		Yes	Relevance
614		No	
615		No	
616		No	
618		No	
642		No	
643		No	
644		No	
645		No	
646		No	
647		No	
648		No	
649		No	
650		No	
651		No	

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
652		No	
653		No	
654		No	
655		No	
656		No	
657		No	
658		No	
659		No	
660		No	
661		No	
662		No	
663		No	
664		No	
665		No	
666		No	
667		No	
668		No	
669		No	
670		No	
671		No	
672		No	
673		No	
674		No	
675		No	
676		No	
677		No	
678		No	
679		No	
680		No	
681		No	
682		No	
683		No	
684		No	
685		Yes	Relevance
686		Yes	Relevance
687		No	
688		No	
689		No	
690		No	
691		No	
696		No	
697		No	
698			
700		No	
703			
704		No	
706		Yes	Relevance, 403
707		Yes	Relevance, 403

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
708		Yes	Relevance, 403
709		Yes	Relevance 403, Improper Exhibit
710		Yes	Relevance, 403
711		Yes	Relevance, 403
713		No	
714		No	
715		No	
716		No	
718		No	
719		No	
720		No	
721		No	
722		No	
723		No	
724		No	
725		No	
726		No	
727		No	
728		No	
729		No	
730		No	
731		No	
736		No	
745		Yes	Relevance, 403
746		Yes	Relevance, 403
747		Yes	Relevance, 403
748		Yes	Relevance, 403
749		Yes	Relevance, 403
750		Yes	Relevance, 403
751		Yes	Relevance, 403
752		Yes	Relevance, 403
753		Yes	Relevance, 403
754		Yes	Relevance, 403
755		Yes	Relevance, 403
756		Yes	Relevance, 403
757		Yes	Relevance, 403
758		Yes	Relevance, 403
759		Yes	Relevance, 403
760		Yes	Relevance, 403
761		Yes	Relevance, 403
762		Yes	Relevance, 403
787		No	
799		Yes	Hearsay
831		No	
832		No	
834		Yes	Relevance, 403

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
839		Yes	Relevance, 403
856		Yes	Relevance, 403
857		No	
859		Yes	Relevance, 403
861		Yes	Relevance, Hearsay
862		Yes	Relevance, 403
863		Yes	Relevance, 403
864		Yes	Relevance, 403
865		Yes	Relevance, 403
866		Yes	Relevance, 403
867		Yes	Relevance, 403
868		Yes	Relevance, 403
869		Yes	Relevance, 403
870		Yes	Relevance, 403
871		Yes	Relevance, 403
872		Yes	Relevance, 403
873		Yes	Relevance, 403
874		Yes	Relevance, 403
875		Yes	Relevance, 403
876		Yes	Relevance, 403
877		Yes	Relevance, 403
878		Yes	Relevance, 403
879		Yes	Relevance, 403
880		Yes	Relevance, 403
881		Yes	Relevance, 403
882		Yes	Relevance, 403
883		Yes	Relevance, 403
884		Yes	Relevance, 403
885		Yes	Relevance, 403
886		Yes	Relevance, 403
887		Yes	Relevance, 403
888		Yes	Relevance, 403
889		Yes	Relevance, 403
890		Yes	Relevance, 403
891		Yes	Relevance, 403
892		Yes	Relevance, 403
893		Yes	Relevance, 403
894		Yes	Relevance, 403
895		Yes	Relevance, 403
896		Yes	Relevance, 403
897		Yes	Relevance, 403
898		Yes	Relevance, 403
899		Yes	Relevance, 403
900		Yes	Relevance, 403
901		Yes	Relevance, 403
902		Yes	Relevance, 403
1239			Exhibit Not Located

EXHIBIT 14**ROYAL/TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
2006			
2008		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2009		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2010		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2011		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2012		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2013		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2014		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2015		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2016		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2017		Yes	Lack of Completeness
2018		Yes	Relevance, 403
2019		Yes	Relevance, 403
2020		Yes	Relevance, 403
2021		Yes	Relevance, 403
2028		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2030		Yes	Relevance
2033		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403
2034			
2035		Yes	Hearsay, Relevance, 403

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 1 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 1 Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
22		Yes	R
24		Yes	A, R
27		Yes	R
28		Yes	R
29		Yes	R
38		Yes	R
41		Yes	R
42		Yes	R
43		Yes	R
51		No	
63		Yes	R
64		Yes	R
65		Yes	R
66		Yes	R
67		Yes	R
68		Yes	R
69		Yes	R
70		Yes	R
74		Yes	A, R
75		Yes	R
76		Yes	R
77		Yes	R
78		Yes	R
87		Yes	R
90		No	
93		No	
94		Yes	R
96		Yes	R
97		Yes	R
98		Yes	R
106		Yes	R
141		Yes	R
150		Yes	R
171		No	
221		Yes	R
255		Yes	H, R
257		Yes	H, R
258		Yes	H, R
260		Yes	H, R
261		Yes	H, R
262		Yes	H, R
264		Yes	H, R
268		Yes	H, R
270		Yes	H, R
271		Yes	R
272		Yes	R
273		Yes	R

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 1 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 1 Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
274		Yes	H, R
277		Yes	R
278		Yes	H, A, R
279		Yes	H, R
280		Yes	H, A, R
281		Yes	H, A, R
282		Yes	H, A, R
285		Yes	R
286		Yes	H, A, R
291		Yes	R
292		Yes	R
293		Yes	R
294		Yes	H, R
295		Yes	H, R
296		Yes	R
297		Yes	R
298		Yes	A, R
306		Yes	R
308		Yes	R
309		Yes	R
311		Yes	R
313		Yes	R
315		Yes	H, R
351		Yes	H, R
358		Yes	R
359		Yes	R
361		Yes	R
364		No	
365		No	
369		Yes	R
370		Yes	R
371		Yes	R
372		Yes	R
373		Yes	R
539		Yes	R
540		Yes	R
541		Yes	R
546		Yes	H, A, R
560		Yes	R
588		Yes	H, A, R
589		Yes	R
590		Yes	R
591		Yes	R
594		Yes	R
599		Yes	R
601		Yes	R
602		Yes	R

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 1 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 1 Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
603		Yes	R
604		Yes	R
605		Yes	R
606		Yes	R
607		Yes	R
608		Yes	R
609		Yes	R
610		Yes	R
613		Yes	R
614		Yes	R
615		Yes	R
616		Yes	R
618		Yes	R
619		Yes	R
620		Yes	R
621		Yes	R
622		Yes	R
623		Yes	R
628		Yes	H, A, R
630		Yes	A, R
644		Yes	R
645		Yes	R
646		Yes	R
647		Yes	A, R
957		Yes	R
991		Yes	R
1014		No	
1015		No	
1017		Yes	R
1018		Yes	R
1020		No	
1021		No	
1022		No	
1023		No	
1025		No	
1026		Yes	R
1027		Yes	R
1028		Yes	R
1029		Yes	R
1030		Yes	R
1032		Yes	R
1033		No	
1034		Yes	R
1036		Yes	R
1037		No	
1038		Yes	R
1039		Yes	R

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 1 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 1 Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
1040		Yes	R
1041		Yes	R
1042		No	
1043		Yes	R
1044		No	
1045		No	
1049		Yes	R
1050		Yes	A, R
1051		Yes	A, R
1056		Yes	R
1057		Yes	R
1059		No	
1081		Yes	H, R
1099		Yes	H, R
1102		Yes	H, R
1104		Yes	A, R
1105		Yes	A, R
1106		Yes	R
1107		Yes	R
1114		Yes	A, R
1116		Yes	R
1117		Yes	R
1119		Yes	R
1166		Yes	H, A, R
1170		Yes	A, R
1171		No	
1172		Yes	R
1173		Yes	R
1175		No	
1176		No	
1177		No	
1178		No	
1179		Yes	H
1180		No	
1181		No	
1182		No	
1183		No	
1184		No	
1185		No	
1186		Yes	R
1187		No	
1188		Yes	R
1189		Yes	R
1190		Yes	A, R
1191		Yes	H, A, R
1192		Yes	R
1193		Yes	R

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 1 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 1 Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
1194		Yes	R
1195		Yes	R
1196		Yes	R
1197		Yes	R
1198		No	
1199		No	
1200		Yes	R
1202		Yes	Other (See note below)
1203		No	
1204		No	
1205		Yes	H, R
1206		No	
1207		Yes	R
1208		No	
1209		No	
1210		No	
1213		No	
1214		Yes	R
1215		Yes	R
1216		Yes	R
1217		Yes	R
1220		Yes	R
1231		No	
1232		No	
1233		No	
1234		No	
1235		No	
1308		Yes	R
1313		Yes	R
1316		Yes	R
1344		Yes	R
1347		Yes	R
1360		Yes	H, A, R
1368		Yes	H, A, R
1369		Yes	H, A, R
1432		No	
1433		No	
1434		No	
1435		No	
1436		No	
1437		No	
1438		Yes	R
1439		Yes	R
1440		No	
1441		No	
1485		Yes	R
1486		Yes	R

NOTE: Trustee and Family defendants are entering into a Stipulation of Authenticity which will include certain documents.

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 1 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 1 Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
1492		Yes	H, A, R
1500		Yes	R
1517		Yes	R
1518		Yes	R
1519		No	
1568		Yes	R
1685		Yes	R
1692		Yes	R
1709		Yes	R
1711		Yes	R
1712		No	
1713		No	
1744		No	

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
190		Yes	R
191		Yes	H, A, R
193		Yes	H
195		Yes	H, A, R
197		Yes	H, R
203		Yes	R
204		Yes	R
230		Yes	A, R
232		Yes	R
241		Yes	R
247		Yes	R
350		Yes	R
394		Yes	H, R, O (Lack of Foundation)
396		Yes	R
407		Yes	H, R
411		No	
412		No	
416		No	
418		No	
487		Yes	R
519		Yes	R
520		Yes	H, R
521		Yes	H, R
523		Yes	H, R
679		Yes	R
706		Yes	R
812		No	
859		Yes	R
863		No	
865		No	
866		No	
867		No	
868		No	
869		No	
870		No	
871		No	
872		Yes	H, R
873		No	
874		No	
875		No	
878		Yes	R
879		Yes	R
880		Yes	R
883		No	
885		No	
886		Yes	R
887		No	

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 2 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 2 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
888		Yes/Possible	R
889		Yes/Possible	R
890		Yes/Possible	R
899		No	
902		No	
2021		No	

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 3 (REVISED 10/01/07)**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track 3 Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
65		No	
66		No	
67		No	
72		No	
73		Yes	R, A
74		No	
75		No	
76		No	
77		No	
78		No	
79		No	
80		No	
81		Yes	R
82		No	
84		No	

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
6000	ACCT 006629-632			Yes	R, A
6001	ACCT 006633-37			Yes	R, A
6002	ACCT 013629-32			Yes	R, A
6003		Compilation of supporting entries for Trustee's damages claims		Yes	R, A
6004		Court Order for the Protection of Confidential Information dated March 15, 2006 with Confidentiality Declaration		No	
6005		Entries in SFC's financial records sufficient to support any item of damage claim that cannot be agreed to by the parties.		Yes	R, A, C
6006	FDIC-PUB 000001-650			Yes	R
6007	FDIC-SFC 000033-36			Yes	R
6008	FDIC-SFC 000322			Yes	R
6009	MORRIS 0022093-143			Yes	R
6010	PH 036204-224			Yes	R
6011	PH 036260-66			Yes	R
6012	PH 153737-39			Yes	R
6013	SFC 181426-50			Yes	R
6014	SQL Server	SQL Server and CDs containing copies thereof, obtained by Grant Thornton from SFC circa April 2002			
6015	WFSC 830121-22			Yes	R
6016	GBT 001659-65			No	
6017	GBT 001691-96			No	
6018	GBT 001826-27			No	
6019	GBT 003067-92			No	
6020	GBT 003746-47			No	
6021	GBT 006415-18			No	
6022	GBT 006847	Email from R. Williams of ACCET to R. Barna re: proposed change of ownership of Premier	3/27/2003	Yes	R
6023	GBT 008180			No	
6024	GBTEX 000001-15			No	

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
6025	SLS Server	Message 1305 email from D. Messick to A. Yao re: discussion of SFC stock repurchases	10/27/2000	Yes	R, H
6026	WSFC 0385794	Letter from A Yao of SFC to M. Bouman of Branford Hall re: approval for SFC's finance program	6/29/1994	Yes	R
6027	WSFC 0385777	Letter from Branford Hall to SFC re: cancellation of loans of two students	2/14/1996	Yes	R
6028	PEPPER 052692	Email from A. Yao to R. Gagne re: discussion of distributions from SFC	10/24/2000	Yes	R
6029	PEPPER 052691	Email from A. Yao to R. Gagne re: preferential ownership of SFC in SMS	10/24/2000	Yes	R
6030	PEPPER 052022.001	Email from A. Yao to R. Gagne re: creation of SLS and SMS	3/30/2000	Yes	R
6031	PEPPER 051674	Email from R. Gagne to A. Yao re: Distributions from SFC and concerns about personal business	10/24/2000	Yes	R
6032	PEPPER 051676	Email from A. Yao to R. Gagne re: Estate Planning for Yao and SFC distributions for house construction	10/24/2000	Yes	R, O
6033	PEPPER 014321.283	Biography of A. Yao		Yes	R, A
6034	PEPPER 014321.117	Letter from R. Gagne of Clark Ladner to A. Yao re: representation in the formation of One Summit Place Partners	4/19/1994	Yes	R, C

Exhibit 14B**TRUSTEE EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
6035	WSFC 0530738	Letter from R. Gagne of Clark Ladner to R. Barnikow of CEC re: enclosure of capital contributions of R. Bast and Elizabeth B. Brennan Trust	6/28/1996	No	
6036	S-PEG 0627-37	Defendant Andrew N. Yao's Answer, With A New Matter, to First Amended Complaint of Premier Education Group G.P., Inc., Robert L. Bast, W. Roderick Gagne, Elizabeth B. Brennan Family Trust #2 F/B/O Elizabeth L. Gagne and Elizabeth B. Brennan Family Trust #2 F/B/O Philip B. Gagne (Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, PA, Docket No. 04-07474)	4/22/2005	Yes	H, R
6037		In re: Student Finance Corporation, Debtor. Case No. 02-11620 (JBR) Adversary Proceeding No. 04-55218 Complaint of Charles A. Stanziale, Jr., Chapter 7 Trustee of Student Finance Corporation, against Defendants Andrew N. Yao, Lore North Yao, DCC I Aircraft Corporation and DCC II Aircraft Corporation	9/14/2004	Yes	R
6038		Andrew Yao's Proof of Claim	4/17/2003	Yes	R

EXHIBIT 15

[Exhibit 15]

2nd SUPPLEMENTAL WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
		Deposition of William Hibberd dated August 22, 2003; August 27, 2003 & March 24, 2005		Yes	R, H
		Complaint: Nielsen Electronics Inst. v. SFC & Yao U.S. Dist. Court of Delaware Case No. 99-285 dated May 6, 1999		Yes	R, H
		First Amended Complaint: Nielsen Electronics Inst. v. SFC & Yao U.S. Dist. Court of Delaware Case No. 99-285 dated November 1999		Yes	R, H
		Complaint for Breach of Contract, Declaratory Judgment, Fraud, Negligent Misrepresentation, Recovery of Preferential Transfers and Other Relief: SFC v. Across America Inc. et al. Bankruptcy Court Dist. Of Delaware Case No. 02-11620 dated November 14, 2002		Yes	R, H
		Affidavit of William Hibberd: PNC Bank, N.A. v. Royal Indemnity Superior Court State of Delaware New Castle County Case No. 02-09-217 dated November 14, 2002		Yes	H
		Complaint: Premier Education Group et al. v. Yao Court of Common Pleas Montgomery County (no case no. provided) dated April 2004		Yes	R, H
		Amended Complaint: Stanziale v. Royal U.S. Dist. Court of Delaware Case No. 02-11620 dated April 15, 2004		Yes	R, H, 403
		Complaint To Avoid and Recover Fraudulent Transfers: Stanziale v. Royal Indemnity Bankruptcy Court Dist. Of Delaware Case No. 02-11620 dated April 15, 2004		Yes	R, H, 403
		Amended Complaint: Premier Education Group et al. v. Yao Court of Common Pleas Montgomery County Case No. 04-07474 dated July 19, 2004		Yes	R, H
		Complaint: Stanziale v. McGladrey & Pullen LLP Bankruptcy Court District of Delaware Case No. 02-11620 dated December 22, 2004		Yes	R, H
		Motion For Leave To File Crossclaim and Counterclaim: Royal Indemnity v. Commercial Driver Inst. U.S. Dist. Court for the Middle Dist. Of Tenn. Nashville Division Case No. 3-04-0107 dated December 23, 2004		Yes	R, H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit 15]

2nd SUPPLEMENTAL WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Amended Complaint: Stanziale v. Truck Driver Inst., et al. Bankruptcy Court District of Delaware Case No. 02-11620 dated April 5, 2005	Yes	R, H
Second Amended Answer and Counterclaim of MP III Holdings Inc.: Royal Indemnity v. T.E. Moor & Co. et al. Dist. Court of Jefferson County, Texas 58th Judicial District Cause No. D167370 dated August 16, 2005	Yes	R, H
Summons/ Complaint: Executive Risk v. Pepper Hamilton LLP Supreme Court State of New York, N.Y. County Case No. 05603624 dated Oct. 12, 2005	Yes	R, H
First Amended Complaint: Stanziale v. Pepper Hamilton LLP U.S. Dist. Court of Delaware Case No. 02-11620 dated Jan. 23, 2006	Yes	R, H
Amended Complaint: Stanziale v. McGladrey & Pullen LLP U.S. Dist. Court of Delaware Case No. 05-00072 dated Jan. 23, 2006	Yes	R, H
Notice of Motion & Motion of Chapter 7 Trustee Charles A. Stanziale, Jr. To Approve A Settlement Agreement with McGladrey & Pullen, LLP Freed Maxick & Battaglia CPA's PC, Freed Maxick Sachs & Murphy, P.C. and Michael Aquino: Stanziale v. McGladrey & Pullen LLP Bankruptcy Court Dist. Of Delaware Case No. 02-11620 dated Aug. 21, 2007	Yes	R, H
Affidavit of William Hibberd in the case of Royal Insurance Co. v. T.E. MOOR in the District Court of Jefferson County, TX, 58th Judicial District. Case No. D167370 dated March 22, 2004	Yes	R
Affidavit of William Hibberd In Opposition to McGladrey Pullen, LLP's Motion to Compel the Production of Documents dated Nov. 15, 2006	Yes	R
Declaration of William Hibberd (in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment) in the case of MBIA Insurance v. Royal Insurance Co. Case No. 02-1294 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware dated Dec. 10, 2002	No	

[Exhibit 15]

2nd SUPPLEMENTAL WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Supplemental Declaration of William Hibberd, in case of MBIA Insurance v. Royal Insurance Co. in U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware dated 3/3/2003	No	
Declaration of William Hibberd In Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in case of Wilmington Trust of PA. v. Royal Insurance Co. Case No. 02-1361 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware dated April 17, 2003	No	
Second Supplemental Declaration of William Hibberd for MBIA Insurance v. Royal Insurance Co. v. PNC in the U.S. District Court, District of DE., Case No. 02-1294-JJF dated June 12, 2003	No	
In re SFC: U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 02-11620: Declaration of William Hibberd in Support of Royal Insurance Co. Motion for an Order Appointing a Chapter 11 Trustee or in the Alternative Converting the Case to One Under Chapter 7 dated Sept. 2, 2003	No	
Declaration of Tony McKenzie In Support of Royal Insurance Co. Motion For Release of Appellate Collateral dated April 24, 2006	Yes	R
Declaration of Tony McKenzie in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment on the Issues Remanded by the Court of Appeals in MBIA Insurance v. Royal Insurance Co., in the U.S. District Ct. of Delaware, Case No. 02 - 1294 dated May 16, 2006	No	
Deposition of Sean Beatty (Royal v. SFC) dated May 22, 2007	Yes	R, H
Deposition of Stephen Mulready (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated April 23, 2007	Yes	R, H
Deposition of Benjamin Rood (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated April 12, 2007	Yes	R, H
Deposition of Gerald Bushey (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated April 9, 2007	Yes	H, R
Deposition of William Hibberd (In Re: SFC) dated February 19, 2007	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Diane Messick (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated December 21, 2006	Yes	H, R

[Exhibit 15]

2nd SUPPLEMENTAL WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Deposition of John Tighe (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated December 12, 2006	Yes	H, R
Deposition of William Hibberd (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated October 27, 2006	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Diane Messick (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated August 8, 2006	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Tony McKenzie (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated July 14, 2006	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Diane Messick (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated February 7, 2006	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Tony McKenzie (Royal v. Commercial Driver Institute) dated July 20, 2005	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Robert Van Epps (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated June 29, 2005	Yes	H, R
Deposition of John Tighe (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated June 27, 2005	Yes	H, R
Deposition of William Spears (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated April 14, 2005	Yes	RL, O, 403, H
Deposition of David Pauker (Royal v. Delta Career Institute of Beaumont, Inc.) dated April 5, 2005	Yes	R, O, 403, H
Deposition of William Hibberd (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated March 24, 2005	Yes	H, R
Deposition of David F. King (Royal v. Coastal College) dated March 23, 2005	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Tony McKenzie (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated March 17, 2005	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Tony McKenzie (Royal v. T.E. Moor) dated March 16, 2005	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Diane Messick (Truck Driver Institute v. SFC) dated July 14, 2004	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Gil Chandler (In Re: CMC) dated April 13, 2004	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Tony McKenzie (In Re: CMC) dated January 23, 2004	Yes	H, R
Deposition of Edwin David Schneider (In Re: CMC) dated January 22, 2004	Yes	R, 403, H
Deposition of William Spears (In Re: SFC) dated August 29, 2003	Yes	R, H
Deposition of William Hibberd (In Re: SFC) dated August 22, 2003	Yes	R, H
Deposition of Diane Messick (In Re: SFC) dated February 12, 2003	Yes	R, H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit 15]**2nd SUPPLEMENTAL WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Deposition of Robert Van Epps (In Re: CMC) dated August 27, 2003	Yes	R, 403
Royal Indemnity Co. - Trustee - Pepper Hamilton LLP - Gagne Settlement Agreements	No	

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
1	11/13/00 Email from SLS File Server, Message0214, "Oct. 2000 Weekly Files for GT 2000-2"			N	
2	11/28/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message0077, "Gt 2000-2 11-19-01"			N	
3	11/28/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message0078, "Weekly Transaction"				Awaiting Document
4	2/12/01 Email from SLS server, Message0004, "January GT 2000-4 Servicer Report"			Y	
5	3/21/00 Email from SLS server, Message4315, "Pooling and Servicing Agreement Comments"			Y	H, R
6	8/1/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message0072, "Wells Fargo Servier Files"			Y	R, 403, C, H
7	8/1/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message0073, "Well Fargo Files"			Y	H, C
8	8/1/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message0073, "Well Fargo Files"			Y	H, C
9	8/1/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message2611, "Wells Fargo Servier Files"			Y	H
10	8/1/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message4166, "Wells Fargo Servier Files"			Y	H
11	8/2/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message4101, "Well Fargo Monthly file Template"			Y	H
12	8/2/01 Email from SLS File Server, Message4102, "Well Fargo Files"			Y	H
13	FITCH-SFC 008100-81	Draft of Pooling and Servicing Agreement between SFC and Norwest Bank, dated December 16, 1999		Y	R, C, H
14	GT 01453-563			Y	R
15	GT2001-1Monthly.mdb			N	
16	GT2001-1Monthly[18671].mdb			N	
17	GT2001-1Monthly[18788].mdb			N	

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

Royal Final PTO Ex 15.XLS

[Exhibit ___]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
18	GT2001-1Monthly[254973].mdb			N	
19	GT2-1 WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
20	GT2-2 Oct Weeklies[3858].mdb			N	
21	GT2-2 WeeklyEmail 11-19.mdb			N	
22	GT2-2 WeeklyEmail 11-19.mdb			N	
23	GT2-2 WeeklyEmail 11-19[251800].zip			N	
24	GT2-2 WeeklyEmail 11-19[251804].zip			N	
25	GT2-2 WeeklyEmail11-26[251807].zip			N	
26	GT2-2 WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
27	GT2-3 WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
28	GT2-4 WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
29	GT2-4 WeeklyEmailConvert[18674].mdb			Y	H
30	GT2-4 WeeklyEmailConvert[254975].mdb			Y	H
31	GT2K1-1 WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
32	GT2K1-2 WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
33	GT2K1-3 WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
34	IBG 09773-5 and ROY 091501-2	December 18, 2000 Final Exception Report SFC 2000-4; E-mail from Joe Domal to Mary Pace, dated February 18, 2000, forwarding e-mails re: versions of the Cash Escrow Agreement; and E-mail from Lori Swanell to G. Hawthorne, etc., dated December 18, 2000 re: SFC 2000-4 Exception Report		Y	R, H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

Royal Final PTO Ex 15.XLS

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
35	MBIA0044113-97	E-mail from J. Boericke to Andrew Yao, etc., dated April 4, 2000, attaching revised draft of P&S Agreement among SFC, Finova and Norwest		Y	R
36	MBIA0046736	E-mail from Lori Swanell of Wells Fargo to G. Hawthorne, etc., dated December 18, 2000, re: SFC 2000-4 Exception Report		Y	H
37	MBIA0056237	e-MAIL FROM Robert Blake to Leo Roland, dated July 25, 2001 and attached e-mail from Lori Swanell re: SFC 2001-2 Back-Up Servicing Agreement		Y	H
38	MBIA0075820-1	Wells Fargo Final Exception Report for SFC 2001-2, dated August 17, 2001		Y	C
39	OT2K1-A			N	
40	WeeklyEmailCompacted.mdb			N	
41	PH 021997-22013 PH 043050	Insurance Agreement E-mail from Sheilah D. Gibson to Roderick Gagne and J. Bradley Boericke, dated October 29, 2001, re: Comment from Lori regarding PSA		Y	R, H
42	PH 067738-41	E-mail from J. Bradley Boericke to A. Francis and Andrew Yao, dated March 17, 2000, attaching Norwest Comments on March 1, 2000 version of P&S Agreement		Y	R, H
43	PH 069237, 69265-6, 69271-2, 69307-8 & 69312-4	Selected pages from draft of Pooling and Servicing Agreement between SFC and Norwest Bank, dated February 6, 2000		Y	R, H, C
44	PH 069503, 69534-5 & 69574	Selected pages from draft of Pooling and Servicing Agreement between SFC and Norwest Bank, dated December 16, 1999		Y	R, H, C
45	PH 069605 & 69674	Selected pages from draft of Pooling and Servicing Agreement between SFC and Norwest Bank, dated December 3, 1999		Y	R, C

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

Royal Final PTO Ex 15.XLS

[Exhibit _]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
46	PH 074739-873	Draft of Pooling and Servicing Agreement between First Trust Bank and Trustee re: Student Loan 1994-1 Grantor Trust, dated July 13, 1994		Y	H, R
47	PH 084777	Handwritten notes dated July 18, 2000 re: telephone conference with B. Boericke re: SFC		Y	H, R
48	PH 084792	Handwritten notes dated February 15, 2000 re: telephone conference with B.B. and R.G. re: Norwest deal		Y	H, R
49	PH 084866	E-mail from Marianna C. Stershic of Norwest Bank to B. McDonald, R. Gagne and J. Boericke, dated February 4, 2000 re: comments to the SFC documents		Y	H, R
50	PH 084866	E-mail from Marianna C. Stershic of Norwest Bank to B. McDonald, R. Gagne and J. Boericke, dated February 4, 2000 re: comments to the SFC documents		Y	H
51	PH 084880	Handwritten notes dated February 1, 2000 re: telephone conference with Mike Reeslund re: SFC		Y	H, R
52	PH 086000-23	Fax from Barbara McDonald of Pepper Hamilton to Rod Gagne and Brad Boericke, dated February 9, 2000, attaching blacklined draft of P&S Agreement between SFC, Finova and Norwest		Y	H, R
53	PH 086024-94	Fax from Michael Reeslund, Esq. of Dorsey & Whitney to Brad Boericke, dated January 10, 2000, attaching combined comments of the Trustee and Dorsey and Whitney on P&S Agreement between SFC and Norwest Bank		Y	H, R
54	PH 85337-427	Blacklined draft of P&S Agreement between SFC, Finova and Norwest, dated march 10, 2000		Y	H, R

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit _]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
55	PH 86024-94	Fax from Michael E. Reeslund, Esq. of Dorsey & Whintey to Brad Boericke of Pepper Hamilton, dated January 10, 2000, attaching combined comments of the Trustee and Dorsey & Whitney to the draft of Pooling and Servicing agreement between SFC and Norwest Bank		Y	H, R
56	PNC0020462-5	Letter of understanding between Mitchell M. Wilf of Goldenberg Rosenthal and David Vanaskey of Wilmington Trust Co., dated July 29, 1999 re: Verification Agent procedures, and handwritten notes re: SFC audit		Y	H, R
57	PNC0021029-39	Amended and Restated Document Custody Agreement as of May 15, 2001, among Market Street Funding Corp., PNC Bank, SFC II, SLS and Wells Fargo		Y	H, R
58	PNC0027179	E-mail from Mark Merrill to Roger Saylor and Jason Sising of CorpBank, dated December 14, 2000, attaching e-mail from Pamela Brill re: comments on the monthly servicing report		Y	H, R
59	ROY 007992-8097			Y	R
60	ROY 009720-841			Y	R
61	ROY 009842- 10138			N	
62	ROY 013104-253			Y	R
63	ROY 014832-972			Y	R
64	ROY 014974-5288			N	
65	ROY 017024-162			Y	R
66	ROY 019736-839			Y	R
67	ROY 053699-708	Subservicing Agreement as of May 3, 2002 between SLS and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota		N	
68	ROY 081255-6	E-mail from J. Boericke to Gregory Schaecher of Kutak Rock, dated April 4, 2000 and string of e-mails re: SFC Grantor Trust, Series 2000-1		Y	R, H
69	ROY 100890-1 & 100905-6	E-mail from Lori Swanell of Norwest to Andrew Murad, etc., dated October 6, 2000, attaching Updated Exception Report 10/6		Y	R, H
70	ROY072392-73572			N	

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
71	ROY-R 017959-62 S 044018-20	SFC Loss Analysis E-mail from Patricia Kartha to Andrew Yao, dated March 19, 1998 re: conversation with Chris Browne at Bankers Trust and phone book entry re: message from Chris Browne		Y	R, H, O
72	S 044021-3	Letter from W. Roderick Gagné of Pepper Hamilton to Pat Kartha, dated May 8, 1998 re: Bankers Trust Inquiries		Y	R, H
73	S 044024	E-mail from Patricia Kartha to Andrew Yao, dated April 27, 1998 re: conversation with Peter Freitag from Prudential		Y	R, H
74	S 044048-9	Letter from Christopher J. Browne of Bankers Trust Co. to Andrew Yao, dated October 27, 1997 re: Pooling and Servicing agreement as of August 30, 1996 between SFC and Bankers Trust		Y	R, H
75	S044037-43	Letter from Andrew Yao to Christopher Browne of Banker's Trust Co., dated January 12, 1998 re: re: Pooling and Servicing agreement as of August 30, 1996 between SFC and Bankers Trust		Y	R, H
76	SFC 008721-3	SFC Securitization Proces Overview as of January 20, 1998		Y	R, H
77	SFCIM 016585			Y	H
78	SFCIM016583	SFC Grantor Trust, 2000-1, Section 3.8(a)		Y	H, R
79	SWH 006052-9	Checklist re: SFC V Poll Cut Due Diligence		Y	H
80	SWH 006713-7	Handwritten notes re: Wells Fargo		Y	H
81	WellFargoMasterGT2000_5C			Y	H
82	onvert[18676].mdb			Y	H
83	WellFargoMasterGT2000_5C			Y	H
84	onvert[254977].mdb			Y	H
85	WFB 0000010-424			N	
86	WFB 0003364-817			Y	R
87	WFB 0004925-5307			Y	R
88	WFB 0008021-424			N	
89	WFB 0009657-10096			N	
90	WFB 0011233-343			Y	R
91	WFB 0011553-602				
	WFB 0013089-699				

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H – Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
92	WFB 0013693-9	Fax from Marianna of Norwest Bank Minnesota to Michael Nemelka of FINOVA, dated January 5, 2000, attaching a sample of exhibit re: summary of services to be used for SFC deal		Y	H
	WFB 0013693-9	Fax from Marianna of Norwest Bank Minnesota to Michael Nemelka of FINOVA, dated January 5, 2000, attaching a sample of exhibit re: summary of services to be used for SFC deal		Y	H
93					
94	WFB 0013701-4243			N	
95	WFB 0014245-816			N	
96	WFB 0014818-5530			N	
97	WFB 0016107-701			N	
98	WFB 0016703-7279			N	
	WFB 0017250-6	Secondary Review re: SFC 2001-3, dated February 5, 2002		N	
99					
100	WFB 0017281-8203			N	
101	WFB 0018203A-26938			N	
102	WFB 0019134-588			N	
103	WFB 0020236-619			N	
104	WFB 0020620-915			N	
105	WFB 0021546-715			N	
106	WFB 0021716-2010			N	
107	WFB 0022613-778			N	
108	WFB 0022779-3062			N	
	WFB 0023580-94	Grantor Trust 2001-1 Monthly Servicer Report		N	
109					
110	WFB 0024546-863			N	
	WFB 0027166	Student Finance 2000-1, 2000-2, 2000-3, 2000-4, 2001-1, 2001-2, 2001-3 -- information and download procedures		N	
111					
112	WFB 0027167-516			Y	C, H
	WFB 0027224	Grantor Trust 2000-2 Comparison Sheet		Y	H, C
113					
	WFB 0027376	Grantor Trust 2001-1 Comparison Sheet		Y	H, C
114					
	WFB 0027750-1	Trust Procedures; Student Fiance Corp 2001-3		Y	H
115					
	WFB 0031940-1	Trust Procedures; Student Fiance Corp 2001-3		Y	H
116					
	WFB 0037381-2	E-mail from Roderick Gagne to Andrea Murad, etc., dated October 5, 2000 re: Exception Report 10/5		Y	R, H
117					

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
118	WFB 0037946-7	E-mail from Lori Swanell to Lori Swanell, dated July 22, 2001, forwarding string of e-mails re: SFC/Potter		Y	R, H
119	WFB 0038037	E-mail from Rick Potter to eileen O'Connor and Lori Swanell, dated October 3, 2001 re: SeaWest and SFC		Y	H
120	WFB 0038204-5	E-mail from Lori Swanell to James Willoughby, dated November 28, 2001 re: SFC acceptance fees		Y	H
121	WFB 0038218-26	E-mail from Rick Potter to Howland Redding, dated January 4, 2002, attaching December invoice for back-up services		N	
122	WFB 0038339-47	E-mail from Angela Herrin to Howland Redding, dated February 11, 2002, attaching January '02 invoices		N	
123	WFB 0038350-8	E-mail from Angela Herrin to Howland Redding, dated March 7, 2002, attaching February '02 invoices		N	
124	WFB 0044808	E-mail from James Willoughby to Lori Swanell, dated February 22, 2002 re: GT 2000-1 disc and string or e-mails re: same		Y	H
125	WFB 0044878-80	E-mail from Howland Redding to Denise L. Marsolek, dated October 19, 2001, attaching SFC Quarterly Delinquency Report		Y	H
126	WFB 0044918-9	Letter from Peter H. Glerum of Norwest Bank Minnesota to Robert Faix of SFC, dated August 24, 1999 re: upcoming due diligence visit		Y	H
127	WFB 0045318			N	
128	WFB 0045318, 45397, 45475 & 45451	Letters from Richard Potter to Lori Swanell, dated March 28, 2002 re: SFC Series 2000-1, 2000-2, 2000-3 and 2001-1 pursuant to the Back up Servicing Agreement		Y	H, R, O
129	WFB 0045397			N	
130	WFB 0045451			N	
131	WFB 0045475			N	

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
132	WFB 0046748-51	Handwritten notes re: SFC conference call dated October 17		Y	R, H, A
133	WFB 0051443-5	Memorandum from Jim Thomes to SFC File, dated April 12, 2002 re: summary of phone call re: questions which arose between WR AA and WFFSS re: the pools' loan delinquency performance and handwritten notes re: April 16, 2002 conference call		Y	H, R
134	WFB 0051681-696			N	
135	WFB 0052095-6	Norwest Comments on March 1, 2000 version of P&S Agreement		Y	H, A, R
136	WFB 0055297	E-mail from Andy Mears to Jim Thomes, dated April 15, 2002 and attached e-mail from Randy Yarrow re: WFFCAR - Student Loan Data Information		Y	R, H
137	WFB 0055371	Handwritten notes re: payment due dates and costing priority		Y	R, H, A, C
138	WFB 0060044-7	E-mail from David Slaughter to Jim Thomes and Andy Mears, dated April 18, 2002, and string of e-mails, attaching revised WFF Risk Management Analysis re: Student finance contractual delinquency data as of 02/28/02		Y	R, H
139	WFB 0061901-5	E-mail from David Slaughter to Jim Thomes and Andy Mears, dated April 18, 2002, and string of e-mails, attaching WFF Risk Management Analysis re: Student finance contractual delinquency data as of 02/28/02		Y	R, H
140	WFB 006435-7	E-mail from David Slaughter to Don Lincoln, dated April 24, 2002 and e-mail from Don Lincoln, attaching Student Finance Delinquency Discrepancy Report as of 2/28/02		Y	R, H
141	WFB 006558-851			N	
142	WFB 0065959			Y	H, R
143	WFB 0067382-384			Y	R, H
144	WFB 44814	E-mail from Lori Swanell to Lori Swanell, dated April 2, 2002 re: SFC issues - WFFCAR		Y	R, H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit _]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
145	WFB0167373-4	Norwest Comments on SFC Grantor Trust 2000-1		Y	R, H
146	WFBCD1016			N	
147	WFBCD1017			N	
	WFBCD1017	Grantor Trust 2000-2 Defaulted Loans Schedule		N	
148	WFBCD1017	Grantor Trust 2000-2 Delinquency Aging Report		N	
149	WFBCD1018			N	
150	WFBCD1019			N	
151	WFBCD1020			N	
152	WFBCD1020	Grantor Trust 2001-1 Defaulted Loans Schedule		N	
153	WFBCD1020	Grantor Trust 2001-1 Delinquency Aging Report		N	
154	WFBCD1021			N	
155	WFBCD1022			N	
156	WFBCD1023			N	
157	WFF 0000582-3	E-mail from Randy Yarrow to Andy Mears and James Willoughby, dated April 30, 2002 and e-mail from David Slaughter, attaching WFF Risk Management Analysis re: Student finance contractual delinquency data as of 2/28/02		Y	R, H
158	WFF 0004284	E-mail from Dianna Turner to Tim Mundell, dated April 25, 2002 re: primary servicer of SFC portfolio		Y	R, H
159	WFF 0004285	E-mail from Dianna Turner to James Willoughby, dated April 29, 2002 re: SFC monthly and weekly CD's		Y	R, H
160	WFF 0004295	E-mail from Dianna Turner to John Pitcher, dated May 2, 2002 re: SFC investor due data		Y	H
161	WFF 0009671-2	E-mail from Cory Koester to Wendy Nurse dated December 4, 2001 re: Wells Fargo Weekly Transaction and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
162	WFF 0009707-11	E-mail from Dianna Turner to Cory Koester, dated January 18, 2001 re: Month End Data and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
163	WFF 0009712-4	E-mail from Dianna Turner to Lori Swanell, dated January 21, 2002 re: SFC monthly data and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
164					

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
165	WFF 0009719	E-mail from Dianna Turner to James Willoughby, Rick Potter and Andy Mears re: monthly fles for back-up servicing		Y	H
166	WFF 0009730-3	E-mail from Lori Swanell to Cory Koester and Lori Swanell, dated February 8, 2002 re: Web access to SFC Servicer Reports and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
167	WFF 0009744	E-mail from Dianna Turner to James Willoughby and Cory Koester, dated March 20, 2002 and attached e-mail from James Willoughby re: Annual Statement as to Compliance		Y	R, H
168	WFF 0009765	E-mail from Cory Koester to James Willoughby, dated April 17, 2002 re: SFC Contact and weekly/monthly files		Y	R, H
169	WFF 0009766-7	E-mail from James Willoughby to Cory Koester, dated April 19, 2002 re: SFC contact and weekly/monthly files and string of e-mails re: same		Y	R, H
170	WFF 0009773	E-mail from Cory Koester to Howland Redding, dated December 31, 2001 and attached e-mail from Howland Redding re: monthly servicing		Y	H
171	WFF 0009776-7	E-mail from Howland Redding to Cory Koester, dated February 20, 2002 re: monthly backup servicing files and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
172	WFF 0009778-9	E-mail from Cory Koester to Howland Redding and Cory Koester, dated February 28, 2002 re: GT 1 CD and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
173	WFF 0009781	E-mail from Howland Redding to Cory Koester, dated March 19, 2002 and attached e-mail from Cory Koester re: February month end CD's		Y	R, H
174	WFF 0009782	E-mail from Cory Koester to Howland Redding and Dianna Turner, dated April 17, 2002 and attached e-mail from Marc Conquest re: Weekly Transmission		Y	R, H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit _]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
175	WFF 0009786 & 9788-9	E-mail from Marc Conquest to Cory Koester, dated May 1, 2002 re: weekly transmission and string of e-mails re: same		Y	R, H
176	WFF 0009791	E-mail from Cory Koester to Marc Conquest, Cory Koester and Rob Schrof, dated May 14, 2002 re: weekly transmissions and string of e-mails re: same		Y	R, H
177	WFF 0009792-3	E-mail from Cory Koester to Aaron Mowbray, dated June 11, 2002 re: April '02 invoices and string of e-mails re: same		Y	R, H
178	WFF 0009794	E-mail from Cory Koester to Marc Conquest and Rob Schrof, dated June 4, 2002 and attached e-mail from Marc Conquest re: weekly transmissions		Y	R, H
179	WFF 0011472-479			Y	R, H, C
180	WFF 0011472-9	List of Files Received each Month from SFC		Y	H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
181	WFF 0011480-485	Back-Up Servicing Checklist - Monthly Summary Template		Y	C
182	WFF 0011480-5			Y	C
183	WFF 0808609-610			Y	H
184	WFF 0811527-542			Y	H, R
185	WFF 0811564-566			Y	H
186	WFFCD0001			N	
187	WFFCD0002			N	
188	WFFCD0003			N	
189	WFFCD0004			N	
190	WFFCD0005			N	
191	WFFCD0006			N	
192	WFFCD0025			N	
	WFFCD0041	Printouts of Excerpts from Monthly Back-Up Servicing Tape		N	
193	WFFCD0060	Printouts from Weekly Back-Up Servicing Tape		N	
194	WFFCD0070			N	
195	WFFCD0077			N	
196	WFFCD0086			N	
197	WFFCD0087			N	
198	WFFCD0092			N	
199	WFFCD0093			N	
200	WFFCD0094			N	
201	WFFCD0099			N	
202	WFFCD0105			N	
203	WFFCD0110			N	
204	WFFCD0111			N	
205	WFFCD0113			N	
206	WFFCD0114			N	
207	WFFCD0115			N	
208	WFFCD0116			N	
209	WFFCD0117			N	
210	WFFCD0118			N	
211	WFFCD0119			N	
212	WFFCD0123			N	
213	WFFCD0124			N	
214	WFFCD0132			N	
215	WFFCD0140			N	
216	WFFCD0148			N	
217	WFFCD0156			N	
218	WFFCD0164			N	
219	WFT 13004-42	Subservicing Agreement as of June 1, 2002 between Wells Fargo Financial Servicing Solutions and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota		N	
220					
221	WFT 43802-13			N	

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit _]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
222	WFT 45217-27	Back-Up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of October 1, 2001, Series 2000-1		N	
223	WFT 45229-41			N	
	WFT 45243-54	Back-Up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of October 1, 2001, Series 2000-2		N	
224	WFT 45270-81	Back-Up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of October 1, 2001, Series 2000-3		N	
225	WFT 45297-308	Back-Up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of October 1, 2001, Series 2000-4		N	
226	WFT 45310-21	Back-up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of April 1, 2001, Series 2001-1		N	
227	WFT 45323-34	Back-Up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of August 1, 2001, Series 2001-2		N	
228	WFT 45336-47	Back-Up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of November 1, 2001, Series 2000-3		N	
229	WFT 45802-13	Back-Up Servicing Agreement between Wells Fargo Minnesota and Wells Fargo Financial American as of October 1, 2001, SFC Owner Trust 2001-I		N	
230					
231	WSFC 0085680-686			Y	H, R
232	WSFC 0090668-706			N	
233	WSFC 0411455-70			N	

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
234	WSFC0085680-8	Memorandum re: Collateral Management Capacity; Letter from Northwest Banks to Robert Faix of SFC, dated September 10, 1999 re: servicing transition, attaching SFC Contingency Plan Outline; Faxes from Robert Faix to Sharon Asch of Moody's and Scott Schauer of John W. Loofbourrow Associates, dated September 14 and 13, 1999, respectively; and handwritten notes re: items needed by Norwest		Y	C, H, O
235		Structured Finance Special Report by Moody's Investors Service dated August 8, 1997, "Warming Up to Backup Servicing: Moody's Approach"		Y	H
236	WFB 0044918	Letter from Peter H. Glerum of Norwest Bank Minnesota to Robert Faix of SFC, dated August 24, 1999 re: upcoming due diligence visit		Y	H, C
237	SLS Server	E-mail from Lori Swanell of Norwest to Eric Ruple and Michael Nemelka of Finova, dated December 5, 2000, re: SFC 2000-4 closing			Awaiting Document
238	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Peter Klein, dated May 9, 2001 and string of e-mails re: Delinquency Aging		Y	H
239	SLS Server	E-mail from Dianna Turner to James Willoughby and Cory Koester, dated March 20, 2002, and attached e-mail from James Willoughby re: Annual Statement as to Compliance			Awaiting Document
240	SLS Server	E-mail from Diane Messick to Gary Hawthorne, dated October 31, 2000, forwarding e-mail from Stephanie Scola re: status of backup servicer transmissions			Awaiting Document
241	SLS Server	E-mail from Diane Messick to Randall Noga, dated November 3, 2000 re: status of backup servicer transmissions and string of e-mails re: same		N	

[Exhibit _]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
242	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Diane Messick, dated November 11, 2000 re: Finova and GT 2000-3			Awaiting Document
243	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Stephanie Scola, dated April 12, 2001 re: GT2000-4 files for February		N	
244	SLS Server	Printout of memoranda database, dated April 26, 2007			Awaiting Document
245	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Howland Redding, dated May 31, 2001, forwarding e-mail from P. Scholz re: Month end data		N	
246	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Peter Klein, dated May 9, 2001 re: Delinquency Aging and string of e-mails re: same			Awaiting Document
247	SLS Server	E-mail from Diane Messick to Gary Hawthorne and Perry Turnbull, dated October 31, 2000, attaching e-mail from Stephanie Scola re: status of backup servicer transmissions			Awaiting Document
248	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Finova, dated November 13, 2000, attaching October 2000 Weekly Files for GT-2000-2		N	
249	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Finova, dated November 13, 2000, attaching October 2000 Weekly Files for GT-2000-3		N	
250	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Stephanie Scola, dated December 7, 2000 re: Finova GT2-1 DBs and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
251	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Michael Srobach, dated January 30, 2001 re: GT 2000-2 November		Y	H
252	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Paul Breger, dated February 15, 2001 re: FTP Site and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
253	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Stephanie Scola, dated April 24, 2001 re: month end data and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
254	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Paul Scholz, dated May 18, 2001 re: month end data and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
255	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Howland Redding, dated May 31, 2001, and attached e-mail from Paul Scholz re: month end data			Awaiting Document
256	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Paul Scholz, dated May 31, 2001 re: month end data			Awaiting Document
257	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to misrequest, dated May 31, 2001 re: April Finova		Y	H
258	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to misrequest, dated June 1, 2001 re: April Finova		Y	H
259	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Howland Redding, dated June 6, 2001, re: Finova GT2-1 April'01 and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
260	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Howland Redding, dated June 13, 2001 re: month end data and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
261	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to David Aksim, dated June 14, 2001 and attached e-mails from David Aksim re: Finova May '01 GT2, 3 and 4			Awaiting Document
262	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Stephanie Scola, dated June 15, 2001 re: GT4 Finova May'01 and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
263	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Leigh Ann DeGirolano, dated June 15, 2001 re: new tracker item		Y	H
264	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to David Aksim, dated June 15, 2001 re: GT2 Finova and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
265	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Stephanie Scola, dated June 15, 2001 re: Finova GT3 May '01 and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
266	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Howland Redding, dated June 15, 2001 re: Finova and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
267	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Stephanie Scola and Howland Redding, dated June 18, 2001 re: Finova GT2, 3 and 4 May'01 and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
268	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to David Aksim, dated June 19, 2001 re: Finova GT2-1 May '01 and attached e-mail from David Aksim re: same		Y	H
269	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Paul Scholz, dated June 26, 2001 and attached e-mail from Paul Scholz re: month end data		Y	H
270	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to David Aksim, dated July 16, 2001 and attached e-mail from David Aksim re: Finova GT2-1 May		Y	H
271	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Todd Hampton, dated July 16, 2001 and attached e-mail from Todd Hampton re: Finova GT-2 to GT-4		Y	H
272	SLS Server	E-mail from Wendy Nurse to Stephanie Scola, dated September 5, 2001 re: GT information and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
273	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Stephanie Scola, mirequest and Wendy Nurse, dated September 24, 2001 re: Weekly E-mail -- Week ending 08/19/01 and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
274	SLS Server	E-mail from Randall Noga to Howland Redding, dated October 31, 2001 re: Weekly Finova Reports and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
275	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Stephanie Scola, dated October 31, 2001 re: Weekly Finova Report and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
276	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Lori Swanell, dated October 31, 2001 re: Weekly Finova Reports and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
277	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Lori Swanell, dated June 18, 2001 and attached e-mail from Lori Swanell re: electronic master file for GT 2001-1		Y	H
278	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to David Aksim, dated July 19, 2001 re: Wells Fargo Servicer Files and string of e-mails re: same		Y	C, H
279	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Stephanie Scola dated August 1, 2001 and string of e-mails re: GT 2001-1 Backup Servicer Monthly Template and 2001-1 Master List		Y	H
280	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Howland Redding and Todd Hampton, dated August 1, 2001 re: Wells Fargo Files and string of e-mails re: same			Awaiting Document
281	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Rick Potter, dated August 2, 2001 re: Backup Servicer Files		Y	H
282	SLS Server	E-mail from David Aksim to Randall Noga and Todd Hampton, dated August 9, 2001 re: FT 2001-1 Backup Servicer Files to Wells Fargo and string of e-mails re: same		Y	O, H
283	SLS Server	E-mail from Todd Hampton to Howland Redding, dated August 17, 2001 re: SFC Database and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
284	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Rick Potter, dated August 20, 2001 re: Weekly E-mail - Week ending 08/19/01 and string of e-mails re: same		Y	C, H
285	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Wendy Nurse and misrequest, dated August 22, 2001 re: GT 2001-1 Backup Servicing Reporting		Y	H
286	SLS Server	E-mail from Randall Noga to misrequest, dated August 30, 2001 re: GT 2001-1 files and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
287	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Randall Noga, dated October 5, 2001 re: GT6 Weekly Transmittal file and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
288	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Lori Swanell, dated October 9, 2001 re: Servicer Report and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
289	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Rick Potter, dated October 29, 2001 and attached e-mail from Rick Potter re: back-up data on 200-1, 2, 2 and 4		Y	H
290	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Rob Schrof, dated October 29, 2001 re: Servicer Reports -- WFFCar and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
291	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Cory Koester, dated November 28, 2001 re: GT 2000-2 11/19/01			Awaiting Document
292	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to Randall Noga and Wendy Nurse, dated November 28, 2001 re: Weekly Transaction and string of e-mails re: same			Awaiting Document
293	SLS Server	E-mail from Howland Redding to David Aksim, dated December 14, 2001 and attached e-mail from David Aksim re: Wells Fargo GT2-1 11/01		Y	H, C
294	SLS Server	E-mail from Natalya Vtorygina to David Aksim, dated December 17, 2001, attaching spreadsheet re: schedule of processes		Y	R, H, C
295	SLS Server	E-mail from Lori Swanell to Stephanie Scola, dated December 18, 2001 re: Back-Up Servicing and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
296	SLS Server	E-mail from Stephanie Scola to Randall Noga and Howland Redding, dated December 20, 2001 re: Backup Servicing Questions and string of e-mails re: same		Y	H
297	SLS Server	E-mail from SLS Server dated May 9, 2001			Awaiting Document

[Exhibit]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
298		Defendant Royal Indemnity Company's First Amendment to Count III of its Counterclaim Against Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota		N	
299		Royal Indemnity Company's Third Amended Complaint		Y	R
300		Royal Indemnity Company's Objections and Answers to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Third Set of Interrogatories		N	
301		Royal Indemnity Company's Objections and Answers to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Fourth Set of Interrogatories		N	
302		Royal Indemnity Company's Objections and Supplemental Responses to Defendant Pepper Hamilton LLP's Fourth Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
303		All Settlements between Royal Indemnity Company and any other person or entity in any jurisdiction related in any way to SFC and or the insurance Royal Indemnity Company issued to SFC and related entities		N	
304		All Complaints filed by Royal Indemnity Company against any person or entity in any jurisdiction related in any way to SFC and or the insurance Royal Indemnity Company issued to SFC and related entities		Y	R
305		Expert Report of John P. Garvey dated May 7, 2007		Y	R, 403, O
306		Expert Report of Bruce A. Green dated June 15, 2007		Y	R, 403, O
307		Expert Report of Donald W. Glazer dated June 15, 2007		Y	R, 403, O
308		Complaint: MBIA and Wells Fargo v. Royal Indemnity (Case No. 02-1294).		N	
309		Answer, Defenses, Counterclaims and Third Party Claims of Defendant Royal Indemnity (Case No. 02-1294).		N	
310		Defendant Royal Indemnity First Amendment to Count III of its Counterclaim Against Wells Fargo (Case No. 02-1294).		N	

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit ___]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
311		Defendant Royal Indemnity First Amended Counterclaims and Third Party Claims (Case No. 02-1294).		N	
312		Second Amended Complaint (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		N	
313		Third Amended Complaint (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		N	
314		Royal Indemnity Objections and Responses to Defendant Pepper First Set of Requests for Admissions (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		N	
315		Royal Indemnity Objections and Responses to Defendant Pepper Second Set of Requests for Admissions (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		N	
316		Royal Indemnity Objections and Responses to Defendant Pepper Third Set of Requests for Admissions (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		N	
317		Royal Indemnity Objections and Responses to Defendant Pepper Fourth Set of Requests for Admissions (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		N	
318		Defendant McGladrey & Pullen First Request For Admissions to Royal Indemnity (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		Y	R, 403, H
319		Royal Indemnity Requests For Admissions From Wells Fargo (Royal v. Pepper Case No. 05-165).		N	
320		Student Finance Corp.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's 2nd Set of Interrogatories		N	
321		Defendant T.E. Moor & Co.'s Answers to 1st Set of Interrogatories from Plaintiff		Y	R, H
322		Defendant MAC Insurance Agency's Answers to 1st Set of Interrogatories from Plaintiff		Y	R, H

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit ___]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
323		Wells Fargo Bank N.A.'s Responses and Objections to Royal's 1st Set of Interrogatories		N	
324		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Supplemental Responses to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s 1st Set of Interrogatories		N	
325		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections to MBIA and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s 2nd Set of Interrogatories		N	
326		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to MBIA and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s 2nd Set of Interrogatories		N	
327		Objections and Answers to Royal Indemnity Co.'s April 28, 2006 Interrogatories Regarding Insurance Claims to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.		N	
328		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to Defendant Pepper Hamilton LLP's 2nd Set of Interrogatories		N	
329		Objections and Responses of Charles A. Stanziale, Jr., Chapter 7 Trustee of Student Finance Corp., to the Family's 2nd Request for the Production of Documents		N	
330		Defendants W. Roderick Gagne, Robert L. Bast, Pamela Bashore Gagne and The Trusts' Responses to The Trustee's 1st Set of Interrogatories to The Family Defendants		N	
331		Defendants Freed Maxick & Battaglia CPA's, PC's Response to Royal Indemnity Co.'s 1st Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
332		McGladrey & Pullen LLP's Response to Royal Indemnity Co.'s 1st Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
333		Michael Aquino's Response to Royal Indemnity Co.'s 1st Set of Interrogatories		Y	R

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit _]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
334		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to Defendant Michael Aquino's 1st Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
335		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to Defendant McGladrey & Pullen, LLP's 1st Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
336		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to Defendant Freed Maxick Sachs & Murphy, PC's 1st Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
337		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to Defendant Freed Maxick & Battaglia CPAs PC's 1st Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
338		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to Defendant Pepper Hamilton LLP's 3rd Set of Interrogatories		N	
339		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to Defendant McGladrey & Pullen, LLP's 2nd Set of Interrogatories		Y	R
340		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Responses to McGladrey & Pullen, LLP's 2nd Request for the Production of Documents		Y	R
341		Defendant Pepper Hamilton LLP's Objections and Responses to Royal Indemnity Co.'s 1st Set of Requests for Admissions and Related Interrogatory to Defendant Pepper Hamilton LLP		N	
342		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Answers to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s 3rd Set of Interrogatories		N	
343		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Answers to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s 4th Set of Interrogatories		N	
344		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Answers to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s 5th Set of Interrogatories		N	

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit ___]

WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
345		Royal Indemnity Co.'s Objections and Answers to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s 6th Set of Interrogatories		N	
346		Supplemental Expert Report of Donald W. Glazer dated July 27, 2007		Y	Y, 403, 0
347		Supplemental Expert Report of Bruce A. Green dated July 27, 2007		Y	Y, 403, 0
348		All deposition transcripts of Royal witnesses in any litigation involving Royal and any other person or entity in any jurisdiction related in any way to SFC and or the insurance Royal Indemnity Company issued to SFC and related entities		T	R, O

[Exhibit __]

2nd SUPPLEMENTAL WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. 1

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

[illegible]

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

[Exhibit 15]**3rd SUPPLEMENTAL WELLS FARGO EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. I**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track I Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
240	240-I	Yes	R
241	241-I	Yes	R, H
242	242-I	No	
243	243-I	Yes	R
244	244-I	Yes	R, H
246	246-I	No	R
247	247-I	Yes	R
249	249-I	Yes	H, R
250	250-I	Yes	R
251	251-I	Yes	R, H
252	252-I	No	
253	253-I	Yes	H
254	254-I	Yes	H, R
671	671-I	Yes	R
853	853-I	Yes	R
926	926-I	Yes	R
936	936-I	Yes	R
1260	1260-I	Yes	R
1267	1267-I	Yes	H, R
1270	1270-I	Yes	R
1627	1627-I	Yes	R
1628	1628-I	Yes	R
1629	1629-I	Yes	R
1632	1632-I	Yes	H, R
1633	1633-I	Yes	H, R
1634	1634-I	Yes	H, R
1642	1642-I	Yes	R
1644	1644-I	Yes	R

Wells Fargo reserves the right to add or remove exhibits for any reason, including, receiving revisions to Royal's draft PTO of 9/4/07, guidance or rulings from the Court, or changes in the issues to be tried or witnesses to be presented.

EXHIBIT 16

EXHIBIT 16**FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. I**

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track I Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
18	18-I	Y	R
21	21-I	Y	R
49	49-I	Y	R, C
51	51-I	N	
58	58-I	N	
110	110-I	Y	R, A
113	113-I	Y	R, A
128	128-I	Y	R, A
129	129-I	Y	R, A
130	130-I	Y	R, A
171	171-I	N	
204	204-I	Y	R
223	223-I	Y	R, A
231	231-I	N	
365	365-I	N	
510	510-I	Y	R, A
511	511-I	Y	R, A
512	512-I	Y	R, A
514	514-I	Y	R, A
515	515-I	Y	R, A
516	516-I	Y	R, A
517	517-I	Y	R, A
518	518-I	Y	R, A
520	520-I	Y	R, A
521	521-I	Y	R, A
635	635-I	Y	R, A
653	653-I	Y	R, A
654	654-I	Y	R, A
655	655-I	Y	R, A
657	657-I	Y	R, A
676	676-I	Y	R, A
806	806-I	Y	R, A
904	904-I	Y	R, A
905	905-I	Y	R, A, H
1021	1021-I	Y	R
1022	1022-I	N	
1023	1023-I	N	
1024	1024-I	Y	R
1052	1052-I	Y	R
1059	1059-I	N	
1175	1175-I	N	
1176	1176-I	N	
1177	1177-I	N	
1184	1184-I	N	

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. I

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track I Dep Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
1185	1185-I	N	
1187	1187-I	N	
1198	1198-I	N	
1199	1199-I	N	
1209	1209-I	N	
1231	1231-I	N	
1232	1232-I	N	
1233	1233-I	N	
1234	1234-I	N	
1235	1235-I	N	
1436	1426-I	N	
1437	1437-I	N	
1440	1440-I	N	
1441	1441-I	N	
1457	1457-I	N	
1472	1472-I	N	
1496	1496-I	N	
1519	1519-I	N	
1529	1529-I	Y	R, A
1702	1702-I	Y	R, A
2059	2059-I	Y	H
2060	2060-I	Y	H
2085	2085-I	N	

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. II

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track II Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
211	211-II	N	
222	222-II	N	
224	224-II	Y	R, A
229	229-II	Y	R, A
236	236-II	Y	R, A
258	258-II	N	
259	259-II	N	
261	261-II	N	
271	271-II	N	
326	326-II	N	
327	327-II	N	
353	353-II	N	
354	354-II	N	
355	355-II	N	
356	356-II	N	
357	357-II	N	
358	358-II	Y	R, A
359	359-II	Y	R, A
360	360-II	Y	R, A
361	361-II	Y	R, A
363	363-II	Y	R, A
364	364-II	Y	R, A
365	365-II	Y	R, A
366	366-II	Y	R, A
368	368-II	Y	R, A
369	369-II	Y	R, A
370	370-II	Y	R, A
371	371-II	Y	R, A
372	372-II	N	
373	373-II	N	
374	374-II	N	
375	375-II	N	
376	376-II	N	
377	377-II	N	
378	378-II	Y	R, A
380	380-II	N	
381	381-II	N	
382	382-II	N	
383	383-II	N	
384	384-II	N	
385	385-II	N	
386	386-II	N	
387	387-II	N	
388	388-II	Y	R, A

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. II

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track II Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
436	436-II	Y	R, A
450	450-II	Y	R, A
451	451-II	Y	R, A
488	488-II	N	
813	813-II	Y	R, 403
854	854-II	N	
867	867-II	Y	R, A, C
868	868-II	N	
869	869-II	N	
870	870-II	N	
876	876-II	Y	R, A
883	883-II	N	
885	885-II	N	
887	887-II	N	
888	888-II	N	
889	889-II	N	
890	890-II	N	
892	892-II	N	
893	893-II	N	
894	894-II	N	
895	895-II	Y	R, A
896	896-II	Y	R, A
897	897-II	Y	R, A
898	898-II	Y	R, A
2000	2000-II	Y	R,A,H

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - TRACK NO. III

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Track III Dep. Ex. #	Trial Ex. #	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
66	66-III	N	
68	68-III	Y	R
69	69-III	N	
70	70-III	Y	R
71	71-III	Y	R
72	72-III	N	
74	74-III	N	
75	75-III	N	
76	76-III	N	
77	77-III	N	
79	79-III	N	
80	80-III	N	
83	83-III	Y	R
84	84-III	N	

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
		Trustee's First Amended Complaint		N	
		Family Defendants' Answer to First Amended Complaint		N	
		Family Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and Trustee's Objections and Responses		N	
		Family Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories and Trustee's Objections and Responses		N	
		Family Defendants' Third Set of Interrogatories and Trustee's Objections and Responses		N	
		Family Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admissions and Trustee's Objections and Responses		N	
		Owen Carney Report (Exhibit 2000-II) and documents submitted with the Report)		Y	H
		Edward M. Waddington Report (Exhibit 2059-I) and documents identified in the Report or listed on Exhibit I to the Report and GBTEX000016-000728)		Y	H
		J. Mark Penny Report and Exhibits (Exhibit 2060-I), documents identified in the Report or listed on Exhibit II to the Report and documents e-mailed to Trustee's counsel on 7/18/07 and 9/11/07		Y	H

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
		All SFC General Ledgers -- annual or monthly 1998- 2002		Y/N	O (exists electronically; would have to see printed portion)
	GBT 000663- 000666			Y	R, A, 403
	GBT 000679			Y	R, A
	GBT 000682- 000683			Y	R, A
	GBT 000930- 000937			N	
	GBT 000985- 000989			N	
	GBT 001004- 001007			Y	403
	GBT 001012- 001014			Y	403
	GBT 001219- 001222			Y	R, A, 403
	GBT 001358- 001377			Y	403
	GBT 001381- 001386			Y	R
	GBT 001403- 001405			Y	R, A
	GBT 001423- 001432			Y	403
	GBT 001433- 001435			Y	R, A
	GBT 001447- 001449			Y	R, 403
	GBT 001450- 001453			Y	R, 403
	GBT 001473- 001481			Y	403
	GBT 001482- 001491			Y	403
	GBT 001532- 001533			Y	R, 403
	GBT 001547			Y	R, 403
	GBT 001615- 001628			Y	R, A
	GBT 001659- 001665			N	

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
	GBT 001688-001690			N	
	GBT 001691-001696			N	
	GBT 001826-001827			Y	R, A
	GBT 001843-001844			N	
	GBT 002661			Y	R, A
	GBT 002663			Y	R, A
	GBT 002674-002675			Y	R
	GBT 002676			N	
	GBT 002685-002686			Y	R, A
	GBT 002687			Y	R, A
	GBT 002688			Y	R, A
	GBT 002723-002725			Y	R, A
	GBT 002807-002811			Y	R, A
	GBT 002813-002817			Y	R, A
	GBT 002824-002828			Y	R, A
	GBT 002830-002834			Y	R, A
	GBT 002836-002840			Y	R, A
	GBT 002847-002851			Y	R, A
	GBT 002865-002871			Y	R, A
	GBT 002880-002886			Y	R, A
	GBT 002888-002894			Y	R, A
	GBT 002896-002902			Y	R, A
	GBT 002904-002908			Y	R, A
	GBT 002910-002914			Y	R, A
	GBT 002916-002920			Y	R, A

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
	GBT 002922-002926			Y	R, A
	GBT 003067-003092			N	
	GBT 003145-003146			Y	R, A
	GBT 003203-003209			Y	R, A, H
	GBT 003334-003340			Y	R, A
	GBT 003561-003562			Y	R, A
	GBT 003616			Y	R, A
	GBT 003634-003636			Y	R, A, H
	GBT 003655-003679			N	
	GBT 003698-003699			Y	R, A
	GBT 003746-003747			N	
	GBT 004019-004021			Y	R, A
	GBT 004695-004699			Y	R, A
	GBT 004701-004705			Y	R, A, H
	GBT 005200-005201			Y	R, A, H
	GBT 005215-005223			Y	R, A
	GBT 005440			Y	R, A
	GBT 005441			Y	R, A, H
	GBT 005442			Y	R, A
	GBT 005472-005481			Y	R
	GBT 005566			Y	R, A
	GBT 006324-006351			Y	R, A, 403
	GBT 006396-006397			Y	R, A
	GBT 006398			Y	R, A
	GBT 006399-006400			N	

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
	GBT 006415-006418			N	
	GBT 006419-006422			Y	403
	GBT 006444-006451			Y	R, A
	GBT 006472			Y	R, A, H
	GBT 006473-006520			Y	R, A
	GBT 006673			Y	R, A
	GBT 006714-006717			Y	R, A
	GBT 007615-007627			Y	R, A
	GBT 007835			Y	R, A, 403
	GBT 008118-008119			Y	R, A, 403
	GBT 008180			N	
	GBTEX 1-15			Y	R, A
	Copies of tax returns received from IRS may be added.			Y	
	ACCT 002965			Y	R, A
	ACCT 003591			Y	R, A, H
	ACCT 003592			Y	R, A
	ACCT 004265-004266			Y	R, A, H
	ACCT 004668			Y	R, A, H
	ACCT 004917-004918			Y	R, A
	ACCT 007002-007003			Y	R, A
	ACCT 007165-007166			Y	R, A, H
	ACCT 007898-008300			Y	403
	HALTERMAN 54			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 135			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 136			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 137			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 138			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 139			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 141			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 142			Y	R, A, H

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
	HALTERMAN 2880			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 2881			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 2882			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 2883			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6044			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6069			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6071			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6087			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6103			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6112			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6136			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6138			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6139			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6144			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6145			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6146			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6153			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6205			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6207			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6209			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6218			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6219			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6247			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6250			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6275			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6276			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6477			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6481			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6482			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6508			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6509			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6510			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6516			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6517			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6563			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6566			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6567			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6592			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6593			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6595			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6613			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6616			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6618			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6621			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6622			Y	R, A, H

FAMILY DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT LIST - UNMARKED DOCUMENTS

H = Hearsay; R = Relevance; A = Authenticity; C = Lack of Completeness; O = Other; 403 = Rule 403

Trial Ex. #	Bates Number/Source	Exhibit Description	Exhibit Date	Objection (Yes/No)	Basis for Objection
	HALTERMAN 6623			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6624			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6625			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6626			Y	R, A, H
	HALTERMAN 6627			Y	R, A, H
	PH 008153-8177			Y	R, A, H
	PH 008178-8181			Y	R, A, H
	PH 008182-8192			Y	403
	PH 008193-8201			Y	R, A, H
	PH 008214-8222			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009347-9376			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009380-9389			Y	403
	PH 009438-9440			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009441-9450			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009476-9488			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009527-9556			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009560-9569			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009617-9619			Y	R, A, H
	PH 009627-9635			Y	R, A, H
	PH 008782-8809			Y	R, A, H
	PH 008810-8812			Y	R, A, H
	PEPPER 052909-052910			Y	R, A, H
	PEPPER 056719-056744			Y	R, A, H
	PEPPER 056716-056718			Y	R, A, H
	PEPPER 056690-056715			Y	R, A, H
	PEPPER 056687-056689			Y	R, A, H
	WSFC 0052620-0052622			Y	R, A, H
	WSFC 0319248-0319254			Y	403
	WSFC 0374502-0374553			Y	R, 403, C
	WSFC 0377434-0377441			Y	R, A

EXHIBIT 17

ROYAL'S AND TRUSTEE'S WITNESS LIST

Royal and/or the Trustee intend to call some or all of the following witnesses at trial. The witnesses will testify live except where otherwise indicated. Royal and the Trustee reserve the right to call anyone appearing on any other party's witness list, and reserve the right to adjust this list, or to call additional witnesses in any answering or rebuttal case, based on the witness list of the other parties and in view of events at trial.

Witnesses Common to Trustee's and Royal's Claims

Witness	Live / Depo
Aksim, David	Live
Aquino, Michael	Live
Barbee, Andrew	Live
Colatrella, Mark	Live
DiSimplico, Guy	Live
Domal, Joseph	Live or Depo
Gagne, W. Roderick	Live
Glucksman, Myron*	Live
Haenchen, Steve	Live
Hawthorne, Gary	Live or Depo
Loofborrow, John	Live or Depo
Martinez, Frank	Live
Monteverde, Kirk	Live
Palmeri, Barbara	Live
Pauker, David*	Live
Saylor, Roger	Live or Depo
Schauer, Scott	Live or Depo
Scola, Stephanie	Live or Depo
Spears, William*	Live

Witnesses noted with "*" have been designated as expert witnesses.

Witnesses Specific to the Trustee's Claims

Witness	Live / Depo
Bast, Robert	Live
Camp, Gary	Live or Depo
DeCarlo, Maria	Live
Gagne, Pamela	Live
Grant, Duncan	Live
Green, Bruce*	Live
Green, Anthony	Live
Hecht, William*	Live
Horgan, James	Live
Houck, John*	Live
Kartha, Patricia	Live
Lieberman, Martin*	Live
Malcom, Darcy Lee	Live
Smith, Konrad	Live or Depo
Stanziale, Charles	Live
Steinmetz, Harry*	Live
Unterberger, Andrea	Live
Wilcox, Alfred	Live

Witnesses noted with "*" have been designated as expert witnesses.

Witnesses Specific to Royal's Claims

Witness	Live / Depo
Bernstein, George*	Live
Hibberd, William	Live
Kinzel, John	Live or Depo
Koester, Corey	Live or Depo
Lincoln, Donald	Live or Depo
McKenzie, Tony	Live
Redding, Howland	Live
Reser, Dan*	Live
Schneider, Dave	Live
Stershic, Marianna	Live or Depo
Straus, Myer*	Live
Strobach, Michael	Live
Swanell, Lori	Live or Depo
Turner, Dianna	Live or Depo
Williams, Donna*	Live

Witnesses noted with "*" have been designated as expert witnesses.

EXHIBIT 18

[Exhibit 18]**WELLS FARGO'S WITNESS LIST**

Wells Fargo intends to call some or all of the following witnesses at trial. The witnesses will testify live except where otherwise indicated. Wells Fargo reserves the right to call anyone appearing on any other party's witness list, including Royal's Witness List submitted on September 4, 2007, and reserves the right to adjust this list, or to call additional witnesses in any answering or rebuttal case, based on the witness lists of the other parties and in view of events at trial.

Witness	Live/Depo
David Aksim	Depo
Michael Aquino	Depo
Sean Beatty	Live or Depo
Robert Blake	Live
Jerry Bushey	Live or Depo
Richard Eckman	Live or Depo
Theodore "Gil" Chandler	Live or Depo
Mark Colatrella	Depo
*Constance Foster	Live
Art Francis	Live or Depo
Roderick Gagné	Live or Depo
*John P. Garvey	Live or Depo
Sheila Gibson	Live or Depo
Katrina Glass	Depo
Duncan Grant	Live or Depo
Todd Hampton	Live
David Heitzman	Live
Sanford Herrick	Live or Depo
William Hibberd	Live or Depo
Andrew Jacobson	Live or Depo
David King	Live or Depo
John Kinzel	Live or Depo
Peter Klein	Live
Corey Koester	Live or Depo
Richard Kohan	Live or Depo
Eric Lacter	Live or Depo
*Robert Lazenby	Live
André LeFebvre	Live or Depo
John Limpert	Live or Depo
Donald Lincoln	Live or Depo
*Charles R. Lundelius, Jr.	Live or Depo
Frank Martinez	Live or Depo
Tony McKenzie	Live or Depo
Diane Messick	Live or Depo

Witness	Live/Depo
Stephen Mulready	Live or Depo
Michael Nemelka	Live or Depo
*Seamus O'Neill	Live
Teri Peulen	Live or Depo
Richard Potter	Live
*Richard Price	Live
Vincent Pugliese	Live or Depo
Howland Redding	Live or Depo
Michael Reeslund	Live
B.J. Rood	Live or Depo
David Schneider	Live or Depo
Robert Schrof	Live
Judith A. Schweikart	Live
Stephanie Scola	Live or Depo
Marianna Stershic	Live
Lori Swanell	Live
John Tighe	Live or Depo
Dianna Turner	Live
Robert Van Epps	Live or Depo
Jeff Westad	Depo
James Willoughby	Live or Depo
David Zulauf	Depo

EXHIBIT 18A**ROYAL'S OBJECTIONS TO CERTAIN WELLS FARGO WITNESSES**

Royal objects to the presentation by Wells of any testimony (either live or by deposition) of the following witnesses *in their entirety* on the grounds stated. Royal reserves the right to assert additional grounds at trial to all or any portion of any witness testimony proffered by Wells.

Key

(“MIL 1”) Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Evidence Regarding Royal’s Due Diligence

(“MIL 2”) Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Evidence Regarding SFC’s Attorneys and Accountants

(“MIL 3”) Motion in Limine No. 3 to Exclude Evidence Regarding Royal’s Alleged Violation of Delaware Insurance Code Section 909

(“MIL 4”) Motion in Limine No. 4 to Exclude Evidence Regarding Royal’s Internal Employee Performance Evaluations

(“MIL 5”) Motion in Limine No. 5 to Exclude Evidence Regarding “Other” Transactions Conducted By Royal’s Financial Enhancements Unit

Witness	Live/Depo	Objections
David Aksim	Depo	
Michael Aquino	Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 2
Sean Beatty	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 3
Robert Blake	Live	Discovery sanction
Jerry Bushey	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 5
Richard Eckman	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 2
Theodore “Gil” Chandler	Live or Depo	
Mark Colatrella	Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 2
Constance Foster	Live	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 3
Art Francis	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1
Roderick Gagné	Live or Depo	
John P. Garvey	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 2, Royal’s Opposition re Garvey Deposition (D.I. 573)
Sheilah Gibson	Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 2
Katrina Glass	Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 5
Duncan Grant	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 2
Todd Hampton	Live	FRCP 26
David Heitzman	Live	FRCP 26, Discovery Sanction
Sanford Herrick	Depo	MIL 1
William Hibberd	Live or Depo	
Andrew Jacobson	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1

Witness	Live/Depo	Objections
David King	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1
John Kinzel	Live or Depo	
Peter Klein	Live	FRCP 26
Corey Koester	Live or Depo	
Richard Kohan	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1
Eric Lacter	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 2
Robert Lazenby	Live	FRE 702, FRE 703
André LeFebvre	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1
John Limpert	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 3
Donald Lincoln	Live or Depo	
Charles R. Lundelius, Jr.	Live or Depo	FRCP 26, Second Amended CMO #1, FRE 702, FRE 703
Frank Martinez	Live or Depo	
Tony McKenzie	Live or Depo	
Diane Messick	Live or Depo	
Stephen Mulready	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 4, MIL 5
Michael Nemelka	Live or Depo	
Seamus O'Neill	Live	FRCP 26, Second Amended CMO #1, Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1
Teri Peulen	Live or Depo	
Richard Potter	Live	
Richard Price	Live	FRCP 26, Second Amended CMO #1, Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1
Vincent Pugliese	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 5
Howland Redding	Live or Depo	
Michael Reeslund	Live	
B.J. Rood	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 5
David Schneider	Live or Depo	
Robert Schrof	Live	
Judith A. Schweikart	Live	Discovery sanction
Stephanie Scola	Live or Depo	
Marianna Stershic	Live	
Lori Swanell	Live	
John Tighe	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 4, MIL 5
Dianna Turner	Live	
Robert Van Epps	Live or Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 5
Jeff Westad	Depo	Relevance, FRE 403, MIL 1, MIL 2
James Willoughby	Live or Depo	
David Zulauf	Depo	

EXHIBIT 19

Exhibit 19**FAMILY DEFENDANTS' LIST OF WITNESSES**

The Family defendants intend to call some or all of the following witnesses at trial. The witnesses will testify live, except where otherwise indicated. The Family defendants reserve the right to call or designate testimony of anyone appearing on any other party's witness list, and reserve the right to amend this list, or to call additional witnesses, based on the witness lists and revisions to the witness list of the Trustee, the disposition of pending motions and in view of events at trial. Expert witnesses are denoted with an asterisk.

Anjos, William	Live
Aquino, Michael	Live
Barbee, Andrew	Live or Deposition
Bast, Robert	Live
Burns, Michael (Tofias)	Live
Camp, Gary	Live
Carney, Owen*	Live
Colatrella, Mark	Live
DiSimplico, Guy	Live or Deposition
Domal, Joseph	Live or Deposition
Gagné, Pamela	Live
Gagné, W. Roderick	Live
Halterman, Dennis	Live or Deposition
Hawthorne, Gary	Deposition
Herrick, Sanford	Live or Deposition
Kartha, Patricia	Live
Loofbourrow, John	Live or Deposition
Messick, Diane	Live
Penny, Mark*	Live
Pike, Deborah	Live
Saylor, Roger	Live or Deposition
Schauer, Scott	Live or Deposition
Stanziale, Charles	Live
Waddington, Edward*	Live
Westad, Jeffrey	Live

EXHIBIT 19A

EXHIBIT 19A

As set forth in the Family defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1, the Family defendants object to the following persons as witnesses:

1. **Maria DeCarlo** – paralegal at Pepper.
2. **Duncan Grant, Esquire** – attorney at Pepper.
3. **Bruce Green, Esquire** – proffered expert on legal ethics.
4. **Darcy Lee Malcolm** – legal assistant at Pepper.
5. **Konrad Smith** – employee of a trucking school that SFC dealt with.
6. **Andrea Unterberger, Esquire** – former Pepper attorney.
7. **Alfred Wilcox, Esquire** – General Counsel of Pepper.
8. **Myron Glucksman** – proffered expert on securitizations.
9. **Steve Haenchun** – employee of Grant Thornton, which conducted an investigation of SFC on behalf of Royal.
10. **David Pauker** – proffered expert on Royal's alleged damages.
11. **William Spears** – a Grant Thornton partner.